

Minutes of the Bicester Town Council Planning Committee on **Monday 16th March 2020** at **The Garth, Launton Road Bicester OX26 6PS.**

Present

Cllr Nick Mawer – Chairman
 Cllr Dan Hallett
 Cllr Robert Nixon
 Cllr Lynn Pratt
 Cllr Jason Slaymaker
 Cllr Fraser Webster

In Attendance:

Samantha Shippen - Chief Officer

Minute Number	Agenda item No	
428/1920	1	<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>RESOLVED that apologies were received from Cllr Harry Knight, Cllr Zoe McLernon, Cllr Richard Mould and Cllr Les Sibley.</p>
429/1920		<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>2.1 No declarations of interest were made.</p> <p>2.2 Declarations of Interest for District & County Councillors</p> <p>RESOLVED that Cllr Nick Mawer, Cllr Lynn Pratt, Cllr Jason Slaymaker and Cllr Fraser Webster as District Councillors on this Planning Committee and will make their comments and decision based upon information available at the time of the Meeting. It is accepted that District Councillors may come to different decision in the light of more information being made available at District Planning Meetings.</p>
430/1920	3	<p>MINUTES</p> <p>RESOLVED that COMMITTEE confirmed the approval of minutes, PL10/1920 - 17th February 2020.</p>
431/1920	4	<p>PUBLIC SESSION</p> <p>There were no public comments.</p>

Minute Number	Agenda item No	
432/1920	5	<p>UPDATES ON LARGE DEVELOPMENTS AND ASSOCIATED ISSUES</p> <p>5.1 NORTH WEST BICESTER</p> <p>No update.</p> <p>5.2 GRAVEN HILL</p> <p>No update.</p> <p>5.3 GARDEN TOWN</p> <p>No update.</p> <p>5.4 OTHER BICESTER STATEGIC SITES</p> <p>It was reported that Cherwell District Council had refused permission for the Wolf Resorts application at Chesterton.</p>
433/1920	6	<p>CONSULTATIONS</p> <p>6.1. INNOVATION COMMUNITY – BICESTER GATEWAY</p> <p>A presentation was given on behalf of Bloombridge in respect of the Bicester Gateway application.</p> <p>They outlined the proposal for a flexible working hub which is designed to combine residential and working space in a community type new to Bicester.</p> <p>The development would provide 243 residential flats with predominantly 1 to 2 bedrooms but with flexibility for 3 bedroom affordable shared accommodation for the site. The properties would be targeted as starter homes and would have undercroft parking and a strong emphasis on active travel with 317 cycling spaces and provision for electric vehicle forecourt. Vehicle ownership is predicted to be low but include a car club</p> <p>6.2. OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL (BICESTER AREA) (SPEED LIMITS) ORDER 20.</p> <p>RESOLVED that COMMITTEE WELCOMED the proposals.</p>
434/1920	7	<p>PLANNING APPLICATIONS FROM CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL</p> <p>RESOLVED that the following comments be made:</p> <p>19/02225/REM – No comment; 19/02854/F – No objection; 20/00054/F – OBJECT due to potential compromise of fire route; 20/00138/F – OBJECT due to effect on the street scene, access/exit directly on to Howes Lane which is considered dangerous in the local area, concerns over effect on traffic locally. 20/00182/F – No objection; 20/00187/F - No objection;</p>

Minute Number	Agenda item No	
		<p>20/00229/F - No objection;</p> <p>20/00245/F – OBJECT due to history of schools locally using “temporary” accommodation for long periods of time rather than building proper extensions. This Council would wish to see a permanent extension constructed if the school requires additional accommodation. If Cherwell District Council is minded to approve, BTC would request a condition that the temporary accommodation is restricted in time and required to be removed once the new Kingsmere primary school is opened.</p> <p>20/0245/F – Support the application.</p> <p>20/00246/ADV – although this application is submitted in the name of Bicester Town Council, the signage was not approved by the Council before submission. BTC does not support this application.</p> <p>20/00293/OUT – Welcome the application including the philosophy of the development and the employment types including knowledge based economy. Concerns about the impact on the road network particularly the effect on Vendee Drive and the pedestrian crossing. BTC requests that the impact on the road layout is looked at more carefully. The concept is fully supported but not the proposals for access.</p> <p>20/00324/F – No objection;</p> <p>20/00342/REM – Welcome;</p> <p>20/00347/F – No objection;</p> <p>20/0362/TCA – Leave to Arboriculture Officer;</p> <p>20/00367/F – STRONG OBJECTION a former ACV site which should not be developed, contrary to NPPF, was intended as amenity space, concerns about overdevelopment of the site, effects on the street scene as not in keeping with the area, should be retained as open amenity space.</p> <p>20/00368/F – No objection;</p> <p>20/00378/F – Welcome application but have concerns about limited car parking.</p> <p>20/00398/ADV - No objection;</p> <p>20/0400/F – No objection;</p> <p>20/00401/ADV – Concern regarding sign B facing houses, should be non-illuminated.</p> <p>20/00436/F - No objection;</p> <p>20/00461/F - No objection;</p> <p>20/00472/TPO - Leave to Arboriculture Officer;</p> <p>20/00475/F – Welcome application;</p> <p>20/00518/ADV - No objection;</p> <p>20/00571/F - No objection;</p> <p>20/00572/F - No objection;</p> <p>20/00590/F – Welcome application.</p>
435/1920	8	<p>AMENDED PLANS</p> <p>No amended plans to note.</p>
436/1920	9	<p>WITHDRAWN PLANS</p> <p>RESOLVED that withdrawal of application 15/01636/F be NOTED.</p>
437/1920	10	<p>APPEAL</p> <p>No amended plans to note.</p>

Minute Number	Agenda item No	
438/1920	11	NOTIFICATION OF PLANNING DECISIONS FROM CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL RESOLVED that Committee NOTED the planning decisions from Cherwell District Council PD11/1920 .
439/1920	12	FORWARD PLAN RESOLVED that the Committee NOTED the forward plan:
440/1920	13	DATE OF NEXT MEETING: Monday 20th April 2020 - 7pm not 7.30pm CLOSE OF MEETING: 9.00pm